

**FRACTAL DIMENSION OF GENERALIZED BINOMIAL  
COEFFICIENTS MODULO A PRIME:  
PRELIMINARY REPORT**

JOHN M. HOLTE

ABSTRACT. Given a sequence  $(u_n)$  of positive integers generated by  $u_1 = 1, u_2 = a, u_n = au_{n-1} + bu_{n-2} (n \geq 3)$ , define the generalized factorial by  $[n]! = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$  and the generalized binomial coefficient by  $C(i, j) = [i+j]! / ([i]![j]!)$ . Assume that the prime  $p$  does not divide  $b$ . Let  $r = \min\{n : p|u_n\}$ . **Theorem 1 (Asymptotic abundance of residues):**  $\#\{(i, j) | 0 \leq i, j < rp^k \text{ and } C(i, j) \equiv \rho \pmod{p}\} \sim \frac{r(r+1)}{2(p-1)} \binom{p+1}{2}^k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\rho = 1, \dots, p-1$ . **Theorem 2 (Fractal dimension):** Let  $s_k = rp^k$ . The Hausdorff dimension of  $\bigcap_k \bigcup_{i,j < s_k} \{[i/s_k, (i+1)/s_k) \times [j/s_k, (j+1)/s_k) : p \nmid C(i, j)\}$  is  $\log \binom{p+1}{2} / \log p$ .

LUCAS'S THEOREM AND PASCAL'S TRIANGLE MODULO  $p$

A remarkable theorem of E. Lucas [15] expresses the binomial coefficient  $\binom{N}{m}$  modulo a prime  $p$  in terms of the binomial coefficients of the base- $p$  digits of  $N$  and  $m$ : If  $N = \sum N_j p^j$  and  $m = \sum m_j p^j$  where  $0 \leq N_j, m_j < p$ , then

$$\binom{N}{m} \equiv \prod \binom{N_j}{m_j} \pmod{p}.$$

This paper exploits a generalization of the following alternative version of this theorem: Let

$$B(m, n) = \binom{m+n}{m} = \frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!};$$

then

$$B(m, n) \equiv B(m \div p, n \div p) B(m \bmod p, n \bmod p) \pmod{p}$$

where  $m \div p$  is the integer quotient of  $m$  by  $p$ , and  $m \bmod p$  is the remainder.

This theorem implies that the residues of Pascal's triangle modulo  $p$  have a self-similar structure; see, e.g., [17], [2], [6], [7], [14], [22],

---

*Date:* 21 January 2000.

and [1]. For example, if  $p = 3$ , then the matrix  $[B(m, n) \bmod p]$  for  $0 \leq m, n < 9$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1\mathbf{B} & 1\mathbf{B} & 1\mathbf{B} \\ 1\mathbf{B} & 2\mathbf{B} & 0\mathbf{B} \\ 1\mathbf{B} & 0\mathbf{B} & 0\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p},$$

where

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p},$$

so this matrix is the tensor (or, Kronecker) product  $\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{B} \bmod p$ . Generally, as noted in [16], modulo  $p$  we have that  $[B(m, n) \bmod p]$  for  $0 \leq m, n < p^k$  will be  $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes k}$ , the  $k$ -fold tensor product of  $\mathbf{B} = [B(i, j) \bmod p]$  where  $0 \leq i, j < p$ . Note that matrix indices start at index pair  $(0, 0)$ .

Wolfram [22] and Flath and Peele [4] have determined that the “fractal” dimension of geometric representations of the pattern of the nonzero residues of Pascal’s triangle is given by  $\log \binom{p+1}{2} / \log p$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove similar fractal dimension results, as well as density results, for a large class of generalized binomial coefficients.

## GENERALIZED BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

*Generalized binomial coefficients* corresponding to a given sequence  $(u_n)$  are defined analogously to  $B(m, n)$  by replacing  $n!$  by the product of  $u_1$  through  $u_n$ ,

$$[n]! := \prod_{j=1}^n u_j,$$

and then defining

$$C(m, n) = \frac{[m+n]!}{[m]![n]}$$

(assuming any zero factors in the numerator and denominator are first paired and then cancelled).

In this paper we assume that the sequence is defined by a second-order recurrence relation as follows:

$$u_0 = 0; u_1 = 1; u_n = au_{n-1} + bu_{n-2} \text{ for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers.

When  $a = 2$  and  $b = -1$ , then  $u_n = n$  and the generalized binomial coefficients become the ordinary binomial coefficients:  $C(m, n) = B(m, n)$ . When  $a = 1 + q$  and  $b = -q$ , then  $u_n = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}$  and the generalized binomial coefficients are the Gauss  $q$ -binomial coefficients. When  $a = 1$  and  $b = 1$ , then  $u_n = F_n$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, and the generalized binomial coefficients become the fibonomial coefficients.

### WELLS'S THEOREM AND THE PATTERN OF THE RESIDUES

Wells [18] [19] has proved a generalization of the Lucas theorem for these generalized binomial coefficients. For the purposes of our fractal dimension calculations, we use one of the alternative versions given in [10]. To state it, we need to introduce the following definitions and notations.

**Definition 1.** Let  $r$  denote the rank of apparition of  $p$ ; thus,  $r = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ . Let  $t$  denote the (least) period of  $\langle u_n \pmod{p} \rangle$ , if it exists. Let  $s = t/r$ .

**Notation:** If  $r < \infty$ , then for each nonnegative integer  $n$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &= n \pmod{r}, \\ n' &= n \div r, \\ n^* &= n \pmod{t}, \\ n'' &= n^* \div r = n' \pmod{s}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.** For  $i, j \geq 0$  and for  $0 \leq k, l < r$ , let  $A_{i,j}(k, l)$  denote the solution of the modulo- $p$  recurrence relation

$$A_{i,j}(k, l) \equiv u_{ir+k+1}A_{i,j}(k, l-1) + bu_{jr+l-1}A_{i,j}(k-1, l)$$

for  $0 \leq k, l < r$  together with the boundary conditions

$$A_{i,j}(k, -1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k < r$$

and

$$A_{i,j}(-1, l) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l < r$$

and

$$A_{i,j}(0, 0) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Definition 3.** If  $r < \infty$ , then for  $i, j \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k, l < r$ , define

$$H_{i,j}(k, l) = u_{r+1}^{r ij} A_{i,j}(k, l).$$

As noted in [10],  $H_{m',n'}(m_0, n_0) \equiv H_{m'',n''}(m_0, n_0) \pmod{p}$ , and  $H_{m'',n''}(m_0, n_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if  $m_0 + n_0 < r$ .

Here is the generalization of Lucas's theorem that we shall use.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $p \nmid b$ , then, for  $m, n \geq 0$ ,*

$$C(m, n) \equiv B(m', n') H_{m'', n''}(m_0, n_0) \pmod{p}.$$

This result simplifies nicely when  $s = 1$ . Then  $m'' = n'' = 0$ , and  $H_{0,0}(m_0, n_0) \equiv C(m_0, n_0) \pmod{p}$  for  $0 \leq m_0, n_0 < r$ . Thus, in this case, as in the Pascal "triangle" case, the pattern of residues exhibits self-similarity upon scaling by  $p$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $p \nmid b$  and  $s = 1$ , then, for  $m, n \geq 0$ ,*

$$C(m, n) \equiv B(m', n') C(m_0, n_0) \pmod{p},$$

or, letting  $\mathbf{B}$  denote the matrix  $[B(i, j)]$  with  $0 \leq i, j < p$  and  $\mathbf{C}_k = [C(m, n)]$  with  $0 \leq m, n < rp^k$ , we have

$$\mathbf{C}_k \equiv \mathbf{B}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{C}_0 \pmod{p}.$$

The following examples are borrowed from [10].

**Example 1:  $q$ -binomial coefficients.** Take  $u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k$  to obtain the  $q$ -binomial coefficients. For a numerical example, take  $q = 2$  and  $p = 5$ . Then  $u_1 = 1, u_2 = 3, u_3 = 7, u_4 = 15, u_5 = 31, \dots$ , whence  $r = 4$ , and

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & 15 \\ 1 & 7 & 35 & 155 \\ 1 & 15 & 155 & 1395 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{5},$$

so

$$\mathbf{C}_1 \equiv \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}_0 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 1\mathbf{C}_0 \\ 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 2\mathbf{C}_0 & 3\mathbf{C}_0 & 4\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 \\ 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 3\mathbf{C}_0 & 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 \\ 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 4\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 \\ 1\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 & 0\mathbf{C}_0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{5}.$$

**Example 2: Fibonomial coefficients modulo  $p$ .** Let  $a = b = 1$  so that  $u_n = F_n$ , and consider the case  $p = 3$ . Then  $r = 4, t = 8$ , and  $s = 2$ . The initial part of the table of fibonomial coefficients modulo 3 is given in Table 1.

|         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 |
| 1 1 2 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 1 1 2 0 |
| 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 1 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 1 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 1 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 2 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 1 1 0 | 2 1 1 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 1 2 | 1 2 1 2 | 1 2 1 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 2 1 2 1 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 2 0 | 2 1 1 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 2 1 1 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 0 0 | 1 1 0 0 | 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 2 2 2 | 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 2 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 0 0 | 2 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 1 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 1 2 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 2 0 | 2 2 1 0 | 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 1 2 | 2 1 2 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 2 0 | 1 2 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 0 0 | 2 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 1 2 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 2 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 |

TABLE 1. The Fibonomial coefficients modulo 3

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{1,0} & \cdots \\ 1\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,1} & 0\mathbf{H}_{0,0} & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

TABLE 2. Submatrices of the fibonomial coefficients mod 3

By Definition 3,

$$\mathbf{H}_{0,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{H}_{0,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{H}_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{H}_{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The structure of the matrix of fibonomial coefficients modulo 3, in accordance with Proposition 1, is given in Table 2. Wells [21] provides a detailed description of the pattern of these submatrices from a “triangular” perspective. The pattern of fibonomial coefficients modulo any prime is given in [8].

Proposition 1 and the example show that the infinite matrix  $[C(i, j) \bmod p]$  may be partitioned into  $r \times r$  submatrices which form basic, natural “tiling units.” The pattern of the residues is obtained by superimposing the self-similar array of binomial coefficients modulo  $p$  upon the doubly periodic “tiling” of the plane by “hidden”  $r \times r$   $\mathbf{H}$  matrices. The binomial structure is self-similar upon scaling by the factor  $p$ . The  $r \times r$  tiling structure has period  $s$  both horizontally and vertically, and so the period is  $t$  at the element level. When  $s = 1$ , there are  $p - 1$  different nonzero  $r \times r$  submatrices, one for each nonzero residue value of  $B(m', n') \bmod p$  times  $\mathbf{C}_0$ . In the general case, there are also  $s \cdot s$  different  $H_{m'', n''}$ -matrices. In fact, there are  $(p - 1)s^2$  different nonzero “tiles” [10].

**Proposition 2.** *Assume  $p \nmid b$  and  $r < \infty$ . The number of different nonzero  $r$ -by- $r$  submatrices of the infinite matrix  $[C(i, j) \pmod p]$  is  $(p-1)s^2$ .*

In the case of the the fibonomial coefficients modulo 3, the exhibited matrix shows these seven submatrices:

$$1\mathbf{H}_{0,0}, 1\mathbf{H}_{0,1}, 1\mathbf{H}_{1,0}, 1\mathbf{H}_{1,1}, 2\mathbf{H}_{0,1}, 2\mathbf{H}_{1,0}, 2\mathbf{H}_{1,1}.$$

The missing case,  $2\mathbf{H}_{0,0}$ , must be sought farther out. The places of the missing  $2\mathbf{H}_{0,0}$  are  $(5, 11), (11, 5), (5, 13), (13, 5) \dots$  in Table 2.

### SCALING-UP RECURSION FORMULA

Define

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(m, n) \equiv B(m', n')H_{\alpha+m'', \beta+n''}(m_0, n_0) \pmod p.$$

Using Proposition 1 and the fact that  $s|p-1$ , we may deduce the following recursion relation.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $m = m_k p^{k-1} r + m^{(k)}$  and  $n = n_k p^{k-1} r + n^{(k)}$  where  $0 \leq m^{(k)}, n^{(k)} < r p^{k-1}$ , then*

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(m, n) \equiv B(m_k, n_k)C_{m_k+\alpha, n_k+\beta}(m^{(k)}, n^{(k)}) \pmod p.$$

### ASYMPTOTIC ABUNDANCE OF RESIDUES

Also define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} &= [C_{\alpha,\beta}(m, n)] \quad (0 \leq m, n < r p^k), \\ f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) &= \#\{(i, j) : 0 \leq i, j < p^k, C_{\alpha,\beta}(ir + i_0, jr + j_0) \equiv \rho H_{\mu,\nu}(i_0, j_0) \text{ for } 0 \leq i_0, j_0 < r\}, \\ f^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) &= f_{0,0}^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

The quantity  $f^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu)$  is our focus for now. It is the number of  $\rho\mathbb{H}_{\mu\nu}$  tiles in the initial  $r p^k \times r p^k$  square of  $C(i, j)$  values.

**Lemma 1.**

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) = f^{(k)}(\rho, \mu - \alpha, \nu - \beta).$$

**Lemma 2.** *For  $1 \leq \rho < p$  and  $0 \leq \mu, \nu < s$ ,*

$$f^{(k)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, j < p \\ i+j < p}} f^{(k-1)}(B(i, j)^{-1} \rho, \mu - i, \nu - j).$$

Letting  $I = (\rho, \mu, \nu)$  and  $J = (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ , define

$$Q_J^I = \#\{(i, j) : 0 \leq i, j < p, i + j < p, B(i, j)^{-1}\rho \equiv \tilde{\rho} \pmod{p}, \\ \mu - i \equiv \tilde{\mu} \pmod{p}, \quad \nu - j \equiv \tilde{\nu} \pmod{p}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q} = [Q_J^I].$$

**Lemma 3.**

$$\sum_J Q_J^I = p(p+1)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_I Q_J^I = p(p+1)/2.$$

Accordingly, let

$$P_J^I = \frac{2}{p(p+1)} Q_J^I.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P} := [P_J^I]$$

is a doubly stochastic matrix.

**Lemma 4.** *Every entry of  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  is positive, and so is every entry of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Consequently, the matrix  $\mathbb{Q}$  is primitive, and the finite Markov chain having  $\mathbb{P}$  as its transition matrix is regular.*

**Lemma 5.** *Regarding  $f^{(k)}$  as a row vector with indices  $I = (\rho, \mu, \nu)$ , we have*

$$f^{(k)} = f^{(0)} \mathbb{Q}^k.$$

**Theorem 1.** *For  $1 \leq \rho < p$  and  $0 \leq \mu, \nu < s$ ,*

$$f^{(n)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) \sim \frac{1}{(p-1)s^2} \left[ \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \right]^n \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f^{(n)}(\rho, \mu, \nu)}{\log p^n} = \frac{\log p(p+1)/2}{\log p}.$$

For  $\rho = 0$  we have that

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu} f^{(n)}(\rho, \mu, \nu) = p^{2n} - [p(p+1)/2]^n = p^{2n} [1 - \{(p+1)/(2p)\}^n]$$

is the number of zero tiles in  $\mathbb{C}_n$

**Proof.** The first part of the theorem follows from the previous lemmas by applying Perron-Frobenius theory. The last statement follows from Kummer's theorem as follows. According to Kummer's theorem, the number of pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $0 \leq i, j < p^n$  for which  $p$  does not divide the binomial coefficient  $B(i, j)$  is the same as the number of pairs of  $n$ -digit  $p$ -ary numbers  $(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} i_k p^k, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} j_k p^k)$  for which there are no carries

when added in base- $p$  arithmetic. This is the same as the number of digit pairs  $(i_k, j_k)$  with  $i_k + j_k < p$ , which is  $[p(p+1)/2]^n$ . Therefore the number of nonzero tiles  $B(i, j)\rho H_{\mu, \nu}$  in  $\mathbb{C}_n$  is precisely  $[p(p+1)/2]^n$ , and the number of zero tiles is  $p^{2n} - [p(p+1)/2]^n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let*

$$R_n(\rho) = \#\{(i, j) : 0 \leq i, j < rp^n, C(i, j) \equiv \rho \pmod{p}\},$$

*the number of  $C(i, j)$ 's in the initial  $rp^n \times rp^n$  square congruent to  $\rho$  modulo  $p$ . Then the asymptotic abundance of the residue  $\rho$ , where  $1 \leq \rho < p$ , is given by*

$$R_n(\rho) \sim \frac{r(r+1)}{2(p-1)} \left[ \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \right]^n,$$

*and so the logarithmic density, or box-counting dimension, of the set of generalized binomial coefficients that are congruent to  $\rho$  is*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log R_n(\rho)}{\log p^n} = \frac{\log[p(p+1)/2]}{\log p}.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$g(\rho, \mu, \nu) = \#\{(i, j) : 0 \leq i, j < r, H_{\mu, \nu}(i, j) \equiv \rho \pmod{p}\},$$

the number of entries in the  $r \times r$  matrix  $H_{\mu, \nu}$  that are congruent to  $\rho$  modulo  $p$ . Then

$$R_n(\rho) = \sum_{1 \leq \tilde{\rho} < p} \sum_{0 \leq \mu, \nu < s} f^{(n)}(\rho \tilde{\rho}^{-1}, \mu, \nu) g(\tilde{\rho}, \mu, \nu),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(\rho) &\sim \sum_{1 \leq \tilde{\rho} < p} \sum_{0 \leq \mu, \nu < s} \frac{[p(p+1)/2]^n}{(p-1)s^2} g(\tilde{\rho}, \mu, \nu) \\ &= \frac{[p(p+1)/2]^n}{(p-1)s^2} \sum_{0 \leq \mu, \nu < s} \sum_{1 \leq \tilde{\rho} < p} g(\tilde{\rho}, \mu, \nu) \\ &= \frac{[p(p+1)/2]^n}{(p-1)s^2} \cdot s^2 \cdot \frac{r(r+1)}{2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#### HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF $C(m, n) \pmod{p}$

A ‘‘fractal set’’ corresponding to the pattern of all nonzero residues of the generalized binomial coefficients modulo a prime  $p$  is constructed as a subset of the square  $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$  by ‘‘tremas’’ as follows. Flath and Peele [4] give an alternative, rescaled lattice construction.

For each  $k$  let  $\mathcal{G}_k$  denote the class of sets

$$G_{m,n}^{(k)} = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq i, j < r \\ i+j < r}} \left[ \frac{mr+i}{rp^k}, \frac{mr+i+1}{rp^k} \right) \times \left[ \frac{nr+j}{rp^k}, \frac{nr+j+1}{rp^k} \right)$$

with  $0 \leq m, n < p^k$  and  $p \nmid B(m, n)$ , and let  $G_k$  be their union. Proposition 1 and Lucas's theorem imply that  $G_{m,n}^{(k)}$  is contained in some set in  $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}$  and contains a finite number of disjoint sets of  $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ , and  $G_{k+1} \subset G_k$ . Accordingly our fractal set is

$$G := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G_k.$$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $p$  is a prime that does not divide  $b$ , then the fractal set  $G$  constructed above has Hausdorff dimension*

$$\dim_H(G) = \frac{\log \binom{p+1}{2}}{\log p}.$$

**Proof.** The proof uses (1) the fact that

$$\dim_H G \leq \dim_B G,$$

where  $\dim_B G$  is the box-counting dimension of  $G$ , and (2) the mass distribution principle [3, p. 55]: if  $\mu$  is a measure on a set  $F$  and for some  $s$  there are numbers  $c > 0, \delta > 0$  such that

$$\mu(U) \leq c|U|^s$$

for all sets  $U$  with  $|U| \leq \delta$  (where  $|U|$  is the diameter of  $U$ ), then the Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^s(F) \geq \mu(F)/c$  and  $s \leq \dim_H(F)$ . The box-counting dimension of  $G$  may be calculated [3, p. 41] by the formula

$$\dim_B G = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_{\delta_k}(G)}{-\log \delta_k},$$

where  $N_{\delta}(G)$  is the smallest number of  $\delta$ -mesh squares that intersect the set  $G$ , provided that the sequence  $(\delta_k)$  decreases to zero and  $\delta_{k+1} \geq \eta \delta_k$  for some positive constant  $\eta$ . Let us choose  $\delta_k = 1/(rp^k)$  (and  $\eta = 1/p$ ). Then

$$N_{\delta_k}(G) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \left[ \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \right]^k,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_B(G) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_{\delta_k}(G)}{-\log \delta_k} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left( \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \left[ \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \right]^k \right)}{-\log[1/(rp^k)]} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + k \log \frac{p(p+1)}{2}}{\log r + k \log p} \\
&= \frac{\log \binom{p+1}{2}}{\log p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\mu$  be the “natural measure” defined by repeated subdivision [3, pp. 13–14] that assigns weight  $\binom{p+1}{2}^{-k}$  to each set in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  and weight 0 to the complement of  $G_k$ . (At the next stage, the weight of each  $G_{m,n}^{(k)}$  is evenly divided among the  $\binom{p+1}{2}$  sets in  $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$  contained therein.) We shall see that there exist  $c > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\mu(U) \leq c|U|^d \quad \text{where} \quad d := \frac{\log \binom{p+1}{2}}{\log p}$$

for all sets  $U$  with diameter  $|U| \leq \delta$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose  $|U| \leq \delta$ . Let  $k$  be the integer such that  $1/p^{k+1} \leq |U| < 1/p^k$ . Note that then  $1/p^k \leq p|U|$  and  $U$  meets at most four of the sets in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  (because  $U$  is contained in a square of side  $|U|$  with sides parallel the coordinate axes, and this containing square can intersect no more than four  $G_{m,n}^{(k)}$ 's). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(U) &\leq 4 \frac{1}{\binom{p+1}{2}^k} \\
&= \frac{4}{(p^d)^k} \quad \left[ \text{because } d = \frac{\log \binom{p+1}{2}}{\log p} \right] \\
&= 4 \left( \frac{1}{p^k} \right)^d \\
&\leq 4(p|U|)^d,
\end{aligned}$$

so  $\mu(U) \leq c|U|^d$  for all sets  $U$  with  $|U| \leq \delta$  where  $c = 4p^d$ . By the mass distribution principle,  $d \leq \dim_H G$ . But from before,  $\dim_B G = d$ , and we know  $\dim_H G \leq \dim_B G$ , so we must have  $\dim_H G = d = \log \binom{p+1}{2} / \log p$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bondarenko, Boris A., *Generalized Pascal Triangles and Pyramids: Their Fractals, Graphs and Applications*, translated by Richard C. Bollinger, Fibonacci Association, Santa Clara, CA, 1993.
- [2] Broomhead, W. Antony, Pascal (mod  $p$ ), *Mathematical Gazette*, **56** (1972), 268-271.
- [3] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, J. Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- [4] D. Flath and R. Peele, Hausdorff dimension in Pascal's triangle, *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers 5*, G. E. Bergum *et al.*, eds., Kluwer, Netherlands, 1993, 229-244.
- [5] Fray, Robert D., Congruence properties of ordinary and  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 467-480.
- [6] Harborth, von Heiko, Über die Teilbarkeit im Pascal-Dreieck, *Mathematisch-physikalische Semesterberichte* **22** (1975), 13-21.
- [7] Hexel, Erhard and Horst Sachs, Counting residues modulo a prime in Pascal's triangle, *Indian J. of Math.* **20** (1978), 91-105.
- [8] Holte, John M., A Lucas-type theorem for fibonomial-coefficient residues, *Fibonacci Quart.* **32** (1994), 60-68.
- [9] Holte, John M., Asymptotic prime-power divisibility of binomial, generalized binomial, and multinomial coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), no. 10, 3837-3873.
- [10] Holte, John M., Residues of Generalized Binomial Coefficients Modulo a Prime, *Fibonacci Quart.* to appear.
- [11] Horak, P. and L. Skula, A characterization of the second-order strong divisibility sequences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **23** (1985), 126-132.
- [12] Howard, F. T., Multinomial and  $q$ -binomial coefficients modulo 4 and modulo  $p$ , *Fibonacci Quart.* **31** (1993), no.1, 53-64.
- [13] Knuth, D. E. and H. S. Wilf, The power of a prime that divides a generalized binomial coefficient, *J. reine angew. Math.* **396** (1989), 212-219.
- [14] Long, C. T., Pascal's triangle modulo  $p$ , *Fibonacci Quart.* **19** (1981), 458-463.
- [15] Lucas, E., Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques, *Amer. J. Math.* **1** (1878), 184-240.
- [16] Razpet, Marko, On divisibility of binomial coefficients, *Discrete Math.* **135** (1994), 377-379.
- [17] Roberts, J. B., On binomial coefficient residues, *Canadian J. of Math.* **9** (1957), 363-370.
- [18] Wells, Diana L., Lucas' theorem for generalized binomial coefficients, *AMS Abstracts* **14** (1993), 32.
- [19] Wells, Diana L., Lucas' theorem for generalized binomial coefficients, preprint.
- [20] Wells, Diana L., The Fibonacci and Lucas triangles modulo 2, *Fibonacci Quart.* **32** (1994), 111-123.
- [21] Wells, Diana L., Residue counts modulo three for the Fibonacci triangle, *Applications of the Fibonacci Numbers, Vol. 6 (Pullman, WA, 1994)*, 521-536, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [22] Wolfram, S., Geometry of binomial coefficients, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **92** (1984), 566-571.

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Gustavus Adolphus College  
St. Peter, MN 56082  
holte@gac.edu*